Contribution ID: 60459512-dfcf-4e42-9859-f9c1b45c841d

Date: 01/06/2022 16:35:16

Targeted consultation on the functioning of the ESG ratings market in the European Union and on the consideration of ESG factors in credit ratings

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The first part of the consultation aims to inform the Commission on the functioning of the ESG ratings market, on its potential shortcomings and on the need for EU intervention.

The second part of the consultation aims to inform the Commission on possible shortcomings in relation to the consideration of sustainability factors in credit ratings, on disclosures made by Credit Rating Agencies and on the need for EU intervention.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact fisma-esg-ratings@ec.europa.eu.

More information on

- this consultation
- the consultation document
- the call for evidence accompanying this consultation
- EU labels for benchmarks (climate, ESG) and benchmarks' ESG disclosures
- credit rating agencies
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

About you

Bulgarian

Croatian

Czech

*Language of my contribution

Consumer organisation

EU citizen

	Danish
0	Dutch
•	English
0	Estonian
0	Finnish
0	French
0	German
0	Greek
0	Hungarian
0	Irish
0	Italian
0	Latvian
0	Lithuanian
0	Maltese
0	Polish
0	Portuguese
0	Romanian
0	Slovak
0	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
0	Academic/research institution
0	Business association
	Company/business organisation

Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*First name
Irmgard
*Surname
Venne
*Email (this won't be published)
venne@idw.de
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
Institut der Wirtschaftsprüfer in Deutschland e.V.
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum
Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u> . It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.
810688116593-26
*Country of origin
*Country of origin
Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation. Afghanistan Djibouti Libya Saint Martin

	Åland Islands	0	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
							Miquelon
0	Albania	0	Dominican	0	Lithuania	0	Saint Vincent
			Republic				and the
							Grenadines
0	Algeria	0	Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	0	Samoa
	American Samoa		Egypt		Macau		San Marino
	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
							Príncipe
0	Angola	0	Equatorial Guinea	a [©]	Malawi	0	Saudi Arabia
	Anguilla		Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
0	Antarctica		Estonia	0	Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda						
	Argentina	0	Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
	Armenia	0	Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
	Austria	0	Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
	Azerbaijan	0	France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
	Bahamas	0	French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
	Bahrain		French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
	Bangladesh		French Southern		Moldova		South Georgia
			and Antarctic				and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
0	Barbados	0	Gabon	0	Monaco	0	South Korea
0	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
0	Belgium	()	Germany	0	Montenegro	0	Spain
	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka
	Benin	0	Gibraltar		Morocco		Sudan
0	Bermuda	0	Greece	0	Mozambique		Suriname
0	Bhutan	0	Greenland		Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
	Bolivia		Grenada		Namibia		Sweden

	Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba	0	Guadeloupe		Nauru	0	Switzerland
0	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	Guam	0	Nepal	0	Syria
0	Botswana		Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil		Guinea	0	New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian Ocean Territory	0	Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand
0	British Virgin Islands	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
0	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria		Heard Island and		Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso		Honduras		Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary	0	North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon		Iceland	0	North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada		India	0	Norway	0	Turkey
0	Cape Verde		Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African		Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
0	Chile		Isle of Man	0	Panama	0	Ukraine
0	China		Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
0	Christmas Island		Italy		Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton	0	Jamaica	0	Peru	0	United States

0	Cocos (Keeling) Islands	Japan	0	Philippines	(C)	United States Minor Outlying				
	Totalido					Islands				
0	Colombia	Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay				
0	Comoros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands				
0	Congo	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan				
0	Cook Islands	Kenya	0	Puerto Rico		Vanuatu				
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City				
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion		Venezuela				
0	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam				
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan		Russia		Wallis and				
						Futuna				
0	Curaçao	Laos	0	Rwanda		Western Sahara				
0	Cyprus	Latvia		Saint Barthélemy		Yemen				
0	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena		Zambia				
	Ascension and									
				Tristan da Cunha	l					
0	Democratic	Lesotho		Saint Kitts and		Zimbabwe				
	Republic of the			Nevis						
	Congo									
0	Denmark	Liberia	0	Saint Lucia						
* Field	of activity or sector	or (if applicable)								
	ESG rating provid	ler								
	Auditing									
	Banking Credit rating agency Insurance									
	Pension provision	l								
	Investment mana	gement (e.g. hedge	fun	ds, private equity	fur	ds, venture				
	capital funds, mor	ney market funds, se	cu	rities)						
	Market infrastruct	ure operation (e.g. C	CF	Ps, CSDs, Stock e	xcł	nanges)				
	Social entreprene	urship								
	Listed companies									
	SME									

Benchmark administrator
Other financial services (e.g. advice, brokerage)
Trade repositories
Organisation representing consumers' interests
Supervisory authority
Other
Not applicable
*Please specify your activity field(s) or sector(s)
Business association
* Role in the ESG rating / Credit rating market Please select as many answers as you like
ESG rating provider
User of ESG ratings (investor)
User of ESG ratings (company)
User of ESG ratings (other)
Credit rating agency
User of credit ratings

The Commission will publish all contributions to this targeted consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') is always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

Rated (as a company)

Auditor

Other

Supervisor

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only the organisation type is published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, your field of activity and your contribution will be published as received. The name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Part A - ESG Ratings

Background information

ESG ratings are used by a wide variety of investors as part of their sustainable investment strategy to take into account risks and opportunities linked to ESG issues. Consequently, these ratings have an increasingly important impact on the operation of capital markets and on confidence of investors in sustainable financial products. For the purposes of this consultation the term ESG ratings is based on the definition provided in the International Organization of Securities Commissions' (IOSCO) final report on environmental, social and governance (ESG) ratings and data products providers

ESG ratings: refer to the broad spectrum of ratings products that are marketed as providing an opinion regarding a entity, a financial instrument or a product, a company's ESG profile or characteristics or exposure to ESG, climatic environmental risks or impact on society and the environment that are issued using a defined ranking system of rating categories, whether or not these are explicitly labelled as "ESG ratings".

Due to the importance and growth of this market, and potential issues identified as to its functioning, in the <u>action plan</u> on <u>sustainable finance</u>, published in March 2018, the Commission announced a study to be conducted to dig further into the specifics of this market.

The <u>study on sustainability-related ratings</u>, <u>data and research</u> ('the study') was published in January 2021. The study identified a number of issues pertaining to the functioning of the market of ESG ratings providers, in particular on transparency around data sourcing and methodologies, as only few firms disclose the underlying indicators or their actual weights of their assessment. The study also highlighted issues in terms of timeliness, accuracy and reliability of

ESG ratings. Another issue identified related to biases, based on the size and location of the companies. Finally, it highlighted potential conflicts of interest associated with certain aspects of their work, including where providers both assess companies and offer paid advisory services or charge companies to see their own reports.

As part of the <u>consultation on the renewed sustainable finance strategy</u>, which took place in early 2021, the Commission asked stakeholders about their views on the quality and relevance of ESG ratings for their investment decisions, on the level of concentration in the market for ESG ratings and need for action at EU level. This confirmed the conclusions of the study, Stakeholders indicated that better comparability and increased reliability of ESG ratings would enhance the efficiency of this fast growing market, thereby facilitating progress towards the objectives of the <u>EU g</u> reen deal.

This consultation will directly feed into an impact assessment that the Commission will prepare in the year 2022 in order to assess in detail the impacts, costs and options of a possible EU intervention. This consultation should help further clarifying and quantifying the main findings from the study and input received from market participants.

On 3 February 2022, the <u>European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) published a call for evidence</u>, complementary to this consultation, in order to support the exercise and provide a mapping of ESG rating providers operating in the EU. The call for evidence also looks at possible costs of supervision would these providers become subject to some supervision.

Subject to the result of this impact assessment, the Commission would propose an initiative to foster the reliability, trust and comparability of ESG ratings by early 2023.

This consultation also seeks views from market participants on the use of other types of tools that can be offered by sustainability-related providers, including research, controversy alerts, rankings, etc.

I. Use of ESG ratings and dynamics of the market

The study identified a rapid growth in global assets committed to sustainable and responsible investment strategies over the last decade, which is forecast to continue as sustainable investing becomes fully integrated into asset management.

This leads to higher demand by investors for ESG ratings to help them decide on particular investment strategies.

The study identified two key trends over the past five years - being consolidation and reinforcement of the established ESG ratings providers, and growth in the overall number of providers due to new market entrants.

The study also highlighted that it is challenging for new market entrants to replicate and compete with the larger providers due to high initial level of investment needed to cover a broad range of ESG issues, with as many as a thousand data points, across thousands of companies.

Questions for investors, asset managers and benchmark administrators

Do you use ESG ratings?

- Yes, very much
- Yes, a little
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Which type of ESG ratings do you use? ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds other financial products	Please expla	ain your answer	' :			
Which type of ESG ratings do you use? ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	5000 character((s) maximum				
ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	including spaces	and line breaks, i.e. sti	ricter than the MS Word	d characters co	ounting method.	
ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds						
ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds						
ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds						
ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds						
ESG ratings providing an opinion on companies: Please select as many answers as you like ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds						
ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	Which type of ES	G ratings do you use?	,			
 ESG ratings providing an opinion on opportunities ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds 	ESG ratings	providing an o	pinion on comp	anies:		
 ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	Please select as m	nany answers as you like				
 ESG ratings providing an opinion on the compliance of companies with frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	ESG ra	tings providing a	n opinion on opp	ortunities		
frameworks and rules Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds					e of compa	nies with
 Exposure to and management of ESG risks ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds 				Compilario	o or compa	THOS WITH
ESG ratings providing an opinion on a company performance towards certain objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other CSG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds			ement of ESG ris	ske		
objectives ESG ratings providing an opinion on the impact of companies on the society and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds		_			arformanca	towards cartain
and environment ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds			н оринон он а сс	лпрапу ре	FIOITIATICE	lowards certain
ESG ratings providing an opinion on the ESG profile of the company Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds			n opinion on the	impact of	companies	on the society
Other ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds			n oninion on the	ESC profi	lo of the cor	mnany
ESG ratings providing an opinion on: Please select as many answers as you like investment funds		ings providing a	n opinion on the	ESG PION	ie oi the coi	прапу
Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	□ Otner					
Please select as many answers as you like investment funds	ESG ratings	providing an o	pinion on:			
	•					
	—	a a sat forma alla				
other financial products						
	other fir	nancial products				
	To what de	gree do you us	se ESG ratings	in inves	tment or o	ther financing
To what degree do you use ESG ratings in investment or other financing	decisions	on the	a scale	of	from	1 to 10?
	/ 4	V 0 K V	1:4416	10		dooloivo
decisions on the a scale of from 1 to 10?	(1 =	very	milie,	10	=	decisive)
	0 1 . vorv	, little				
decisions on the a scale of from 1 to 10?	O O	ntuc				

© 4
· ·
© 5
© 6
© 7
© 8
© 9
0 10 - decisive
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Do you use overall ESG ratings or ratings of individual Environmental, Soci
Do you use overall ESG ratings or ratings of individual Environmental, Soci or Governance factors?
or Governance factors?
or Governance factors? Overall ESG ratings
or Governance factors? Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors
or Governance factors? Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance
 or Governance factors? Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors,
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types
 or Governance factors? Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors,
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer:
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum
 Overall ESG ratings Ratings of an individual Environmental, Social and Governance factors Ratings of specific elements within the Environmental, Social and Governance factors, Other types Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum

Do you buy ESG ratings as a part of a larger package of services?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
If you responded yes to the previous question, do you consider that buying ESG ratings as a part of a larger package would give rise to potential conflicts of interests? 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
What are you using ESG ratings for? Please select as many answers as you like as a starting point for internal analysis
as one of many sources of information that influence the investment decisions to meet regulatory or reporting requirements
as a decisive input into an investment decision
as a reference in financial contracts and collaterals
for risk management purposes other(s)
Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

As a benchmark administrator, how do you take into account ESG ratings for

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Do you refer to ESG ratings in any public documents or materials? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable What do you value and need most in ESG ratings? Please select as many answers as you like transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score	
 Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable What do you value and need most in ESG ratings? Please select as many answers as you like transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score 	
 Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable What do you value and need most in ESG ratings? Please select as many answers as you like transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score 	
 Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable What do you value and need most in ESG ratings? Please select as many answers as you like transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score 	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable What do you value and need most in ESG ratings? Please select as many answers as you like transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable What do you value and need most in ESG ratings? Please select as many answers as you like transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score	
transparency in data sourcing and methodologies timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings final score of individual factors aggregated score of all factors rating report explaining the final score or aggregated score	
specific information, please explain data accompanying rating other aspects	
Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	

and	allows	for c	hoice of ESG	arating	provid	ers at 1	reasonable	costs?
(1	=	not	competitiv	e, 10) =	very	comp	etitive)
0	1 - not (competi	tive					
0	2	•						
0	3							
0	4							
0	5							
0	6							
0	7							
	8							
0	9							
0	10 - vei	ry comp	etitive					
0	Don't k	now / no	opinion / not ap	oplicable				
5000	Character	(s) maximul	r answer: m eaks, i.e. stricter than	the MS Word	I characters	s counting m	ethod.	
Que	stions f	or comp	oanies subject	to ratings	8			
Do y	ou have	e acces	s to ESG rating	s of you	own co	ompany?		
	Yes		_					
	No							
0	Don't k	now / no	opinion / not ap	oplicable				
Plea	se expla	ain you	r answer:					

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

5000 character(s) maximum

To what degree to you consider the ESG ratings market to be competitive

14

		•	o you use ESG rates and opportunities	_			
(1	=	not	determinant,	10	=	very	determinant)
0	1 - no	t determi	nant				
	2						
	3						
	4						
	5						
	6						
	7						
	8						
	9						
		letermina					
	Don't	know / no	o opinion / not applica	able			
Plea	se exp	olain you	r answer:				
5000	o charact	er(s) maximu		S Word ch	aracters o	counting metho	od.

If you do not use ratings, what do you use to assess the way you manage sustainability risks and opportunities and your impact on the outside world?

5000 character(s) maximum

Does this vary between individual E, S and G factors?
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Do you provide information on ESG ratings you have received in any of your public documents?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Questions for all respondents
Do you consider that the market of ESG ratings will continue to grow?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
If you responded 'yes' to the previous question, to what extent do you expect
the following factors to be decisive, on a scale from 1 to 10?
(1 = not at all, 10 = very much)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	[k
Growth in demand from investors in ratings of companies for their investment decisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	©	0	0	•	
Growth in demand from companies in ratings including on rating future strategies	0	•	•	0	0	•	•	•	0	0	
Further standardisation of information disclosed by companies and other market participants	0	0	0	•	0	0	©	•	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	©	

Are you considering to use more ESG ratings in the future?

- Yes, to a large degree
- Yes, to some degree
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

If you responded 'yes' to the previous question, please explain why:

5000 character(s) maximum

Auditors in Germany already provide auditing and assurance services in respect to sustainability-related information (e.g. statutory mandatory audits address compliance with the requirements under the EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation as well as a large number of voluntary assurance engagements of sustainability reports which address compliance with the requirements under Art. 8 of the Taxonomy Regulation). In the (initial) assurance engagements, our members observed a significant dependence on ESG ratings as well as major deviations between different rating agencies with regard to comparable rating objects. According to our knowledge, the demand for ESG ratings will continue to increase. The demand for assurance engagements or audits by auditors will also be strongly affected by this in the future.

Do you mostly use ESG ratings from bigger or larger market players?

- Exclusively from large market players
- Mostly from larger market players
- Mixed
- Mostly from smaller market players
- Exclusively from smaller market players
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

If you use mostly or exclusively ratings from large ESG rating providers, what are the main reasons for this?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The availability of ESG data points is better where larger ESG rating agencies are concerned due to the fact that they generally have access to larger databases.

Do you consider there is a sufficient offer of ESG ratings from providers located in the European Union?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

If you responded 'no' to the previous question, please explain why:

5000 character(s) maximum

We note that the market for ESG ratings is dominated by a few large providers. Users of ESG ratings sometimes need ESG assessments down to the product level, if this is possible. Building up such databases is costly, which can serve as a deterrent to smaller providers. The same applies to potential new providers entering the market.

Finally, do you use other types of ESG assessment tools than ESG ratings (e. g. controversy screening, rankings, qualitative assessments, etc.)?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Do you believe that due diligences carried out by users of ESG research are sufficient to ensure an acceptable level of quality?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

If you replied 'no' to the previous question, would you see merit in refining the current definition of research under Directive 2014/65/EU?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Based on the experience of auditors with mandatory and voluntary ESG audits and assurance engagements, we have unfortunately had to conclude that it is seldom possible for the recipient of ESG to perform a meaningful due diligence exercise. This is mainly due to the fact that the rating agencies provide little transparency about their procedures. In addition, comparison with other ratings is cost-intensive for users, especially smaller users. This leads to many users relying on only one single ESG rating. This (unfortunate) situation is exacerbated by the fact that hardly any ESG data other than ESG ratings is currently generally available.

We support refining the current definition of "research" under Directive 2014/65/EU to increase the reliability of ESG data provided by rating agencies.

Do you further believe that ESG research products have reached a sufficient level of maturity and comparability to allow users to fully understand the products they use?

5000 character(s) maximum

No.

II. Functioning of the ESG ratings market

The study identified several issues on the functioning of the ESG ratings market that may hamper its further development.

In particular, there is an overall demand for greater transparency of objectives sought, methodologies adopted and quality assurance processes in place ESG rating providers.

The timeliness, accuracy and reliability of the output from ESG ratings providers were also identified as issues for the good functioning of this market.

Another issue identified in the study concerns the existence of biases and low correlation across ESG ratings.

The potential for conflicts of interest, particularly associated with providers both evaluating companies and offering paid advisory services, was further highlighted. The study stressed that providers selling multiple products require an appropriate separation between departments to avoid potential conflicts of interest.

This section aims to inform on the functioning of the ESG ratings market and potential issues that hamper its development and trust by market participants.

How do you consider that the market of ESG ratings is functioning today?

- Well
- Not well
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

See above

The untransparent determination of ESG ratings, missing data points, cost hurdles for ESG data users, low supply, different methodologies all lead to non-comparable ratings.

To what degree do you consider that the following shortcomings / problems exist in the ESG ratings market, on a scale of from 1 to 10?

(1 = very little, 10 = important)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	E kı or a c
Lack of transparency on the operations of the providers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	
Lack of transparency on the methodologies used by the providers	©	•	•	•	•	•	©	•	•	•	
Lack of clear explanation of what individual ESG ratings measure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
Lack of common definition of ESG ratings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
Variety of terminologies used for the same products	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	

Lack of comparability between the products offered	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
Lack of reliability of the ratings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
Potential conflicts of interests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
Lack of supervision and enforcement over the functioning of this market	0	0	0	©	0	0	0	©	©	•	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

What do	you thii	nk of the quality	of the ratings	offered, on	a scale from	m 1 to
1	0	?				

(1 = very poor, 10 = very good)

- 1 very poor
- © 2
- © 3
- 4
- [©] 5
- [©] 6
- [©] 7
- ⊚ 8
- © 9
- 10 very good
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

5	ease explain why: 5000 character(s) maximum cluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	See above Due to the reasons mentioned above, ESG data is not reliable per se. This increases the effort required to verify the ESG quality of activities or products.
	you consider that there are any significant biases with the methodology
us	sed by the providers?
	YesNo
	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
lf y	you responded yes to the previous question, please specify the biases:
	Biases based on the size of the company rated
	Biases based on the location of the company
	Other biases
	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
	you think the current level of correlation between ratings assessing the me sustainability aspects is adequate?
	© Yes
	No
	Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
ΡI	ease explain your answer to question 1:
	cluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

vari	ious ty	pes of E	SG ratings ca	n caus	e prob	level of correlati lems for your be company, on a sc	usiness and
(1	=	no	problem,	10	=	significant	problem)
0	1 - no	problem					
	2	p. 0.0.0					
0	3						
0	4						
0	5						
0	6						
0	7						
0	8						
0	9						

Please explain your answer:

10 - significant problem

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

ESG ratings have a major influence on the sustainability-related classification of valuation objects. Differences between ESG ratings unsettle the market. It could appear that ESG labels are available for purchase ("cherry picking" of ESG ratings). This is particularly problematic at present, as in some cases very large differences can be observed in the market.

How much do you consider each of the following to be an issue, on a scale f r o m 1 t o 1 0 ?

(1 = no issue, 10 = very significant issue)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	D kn f opi
There is a lack of transparency on the methodology and objectives of the respective ratings	•	•	•	•	©	•	©	©	•	•	
The providers do not communicate and disclose the relevant underlying information	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	
The providers use very different methodologies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	
ESG ratings have different objectives (they assess different sustainability aspects)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	
Other issue(s)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	

If you responded 'other issue' in the previous question, please explain which one(s):

5000 character(s) maximum

Lack of ESG-Data: Generally available ESG data is not yet widespread. Therefore, in combination with the current lack of transparency regarding existing ESG ratings, market participants can hardly perform their own validation assessments of external ESG ratings.

Do you consider that a variety of types of ESG ratings (assessing different sustainability aspects) is a positive or negative feature of the market?

- Rather positive
- Rather negative
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The market needs a common understanding.

To what degree do you consider this market to be prone to potential conflicts o f interests scale from 1 10? o n а to (1 little, much) 10 very very

- 1 very little
- 0 2
- [©] 3
- 4
- [©] 5
- [©] 6
- [©] 7
- **®** 8
- [©] 9
- 10 very much

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer:

5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

If you consider that this market is very much prone to conflicts of interests, where do you see the main risks?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Where providers both assess companies and offer paid advisory services
- Where providers charge companies to see their own reports
- In the absence of separation of sales and analytical teams
- With the ownership system of some providers, where the parent company may exert undue pressure or influence on the research and recommendations that a ratings provider offers
- In the lack of public disclosure of the management of potential conflicts of interest
- Other conflict(s) of interest

If you responded 'other(s) conflicts of interest' to the previous question, please specify the additional risks you see:

5000 character(s) maximum

10
8
8
8
7
other conflict(s) of interest: 10
Rating agencies do not have to follow e.g. the independence rules or ethical codes that other external
verifiers, in particular auditors, are subject to.

To what degree do you consider that the Es	SG ratings market as it operates
today allows for smaller providers to enter th	ne market on a scale from 1 to 10?

(1	=	hard	to	enter,	10	=	easy	to	enter)
0	1 bor	d to enter							
0	1 - Haro 2	a to enter							
	3								
0									
0	5								
0	6								
0	7								
0	8								
0	9								
0	10 - ea	sy to enter							
0	Don't k	now / no op	oinion /	not applicabl	е				
Plea	se expl	ain your aı	nswer:						
500	0 character	(s) maximum							
inclu	ding spaces	and line break	s, i.e. stric	ter than the MS W	ord charad	cters cou	inting method.		
		-	see for	smaller pro	viders?	•			
		<i>(s) maximum</i> and line break	s, i.e. stric	ter than the MS W	ord chara	cters cou	inting method.		
	Cost-intensi	ve developmen	t of databa	ases, time-consun	ning data c	ollection			

-				ket currentl rket to rema			•	
1	0	?						
(1	=	does	not	allow,	10	=	fully	allows)
<!--</td--><td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 - fu</td><td>es not allow</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 - fu	es not allow						
5000	se exp	lain your a	nswer:	than the MS Wo	rd characters	s counting	method	
	g opaco							
prop	ortion	ate to th	ne servio	•	ed, on	a sca	ale from	1 to 10?
•	= 1 - not 2 3 4	not p	-	nate, 1	0 =	very	y prop	ortionate)

© 5	
[©] 6	
[©] 7	
© 8	
© 9	
10 - very prop	portionate
	no opinion / not applicable
Please explain yo	ur answer:
5000 character(s) maxim	
including spaces and line	breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Do you consider	that information on the fees charged by the providers
Do you consider sufficiently transp	that information on the fees charged by the providers in parent and clear?
-	
sufficiently transp	
sufficiently transpYesNo	parent and clear?
sufficiently transpYesNo	
sufficiently transpYesNoDon't know / no	parent and clear?
yes No Don't know /	parent and clear? no opinion / not applicable
sufficiently transport of Yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to 5000 character(s) maxim	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what your operation information to be disclosed:
Yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to some consider should be some consideration.	parent and clear? The opinion / not applicable If no to the previous question, please specify what you be the minimum information to be disclosed:
sufficiently transport of Yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to 5000 character(s) maxim	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what your operation information to be disclosed:
yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to some consider should be some consideration.	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what your operation information to be disclosed:
yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to some consider should be some consideration.	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what your operation information to be disclosed:
yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to some consider should be some consideration.	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what your operation information to be disclosed:
yes No Don't know / If you responded consider should to some should to see above	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what you be the minimum information to be disclosed: hum breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
sufficiently transport of the sufficient of the suffic	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what your operation information to be disclosed:
sufficiently transport of Yes No Don't know / In It you responded consider should be soon character(s) maximized including spaces and line See above	no opinion / not applicable d no to the previous question, please specify what you be the minimum information to be disclosed: hum breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

In light of the current situation and recent developments of the ESG ratings markets, and the potential issues affecting

it, this section aims to gather stakeholder views on the need and type of a possible intervention at EU level.

a) Need for an EU intervention

Taking	into	account	your	responses	to th	e prev	ious	section	s, d	о у	ou
conside	r tha	t there is	a nee	ed for an in	terven	ition at	EU I	evel to	reme	dy t	the
issues i	denti	fied on th	e ESG	rating mark	cet?						

0	\/
\circ	Yes

O No

Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain why:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

There should be greater unification or standardization of the methodologies/models used to make ESG ratings comparable.

Minimum transparency requirements should be developed.

ESG rating agencies should be subject to (supervisory) oversight to increase the reliability of the information they provide.

If you responded yes to the previous question, what type of intervention would you consider necessary?

- Non-regulatory intervention (e.g. guidelines, code of conduct)
- Legislative intervention
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

Please explain your answer:

000 character(s) max luding spaces and lir	rimum ne breaks, i.e. stricter	than the MS Wor	rd characters cour	nting method.	

If you responded yes to the previous question, what do you consider should be the prime focus of the intervention?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Improving transparency on the operations of the providers
- Improving transparency on the methodology used by the providers
- Improving the reliability and comparability of ratings

other tools and s	s meant by and captured by ESG ratings, to differentiate from ervices
	ves of different types of ESG ratings
	parency on the fees charged by the providers
	al conflicts of interests
	supervision on the operations of these providers
Other measures	
Please explain what	solutions and options you would consider appropriate ir
-	nsparency on the operations of the providers:
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line bre	aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Minimum transparency re	equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings
Please explain what	solutions and options you would consider appropriate ir
<u>-</u>	solutions and options you would consider appropriate in reparency on the methodology used by the providers:
<u>-</u>	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers:
order to improve tra	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers:
order to improve traction of the state of th	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers:
order to improve traction of the state of th	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve traction 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve traction 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve traction of the state of th	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve trains 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve train 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre Minimum transparency re Please explain what	aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings
order to improve train 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre Minimum transparency re Please explain what order to improve the 5000 character(s) maximum	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate ir reliability and comparability of ratings:
order to improve train 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre Minimum transparency re Please explain what order to improve the 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate in reliability and comparability of ratings: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve train 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre Minimum transparency re Please explain what order to improve the 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate ir reliability and comparability of ratings:
order to improve train 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre Minimum transparency re Please explain what order to improve the 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bre	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate in reliability and comparability of ratings: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve training spaces and line bree Minimum transparency results order to improve the source of the spaces and line bree source or including spaces and line bree source or including spaces and line bree source or improve the source of the spaces and line bree source or improve the spaces and line bree spaces are spaces and line bree spaces and line bree spaces are spaces and line bree spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces are spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces are spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces a	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate in reliability and comparability of ratings: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve training spaces and line bree Minimum transparency results order to improve the source of the spaces and line bree source or including spaces and line bree source or including spaces and line bree source or improve the source of the spaces and line bree source or improve the spaces and line bree spaces are spaces and line bree spaces and line bree spaces are spaces and line bree spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces are spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces are spaces are spaces and line spaces are spaces a	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate in reliability and comparability of ratings: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
order to improve train 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bree. Minimum transparency results order to improve the 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line bree. Minimum transparency results or the space of the	nsparency on the methodology used by the providers: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. equirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings solutions and options you would consider appropriate in reliability and comparability of ratings: aks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

order to clarify what is meant by and captured by ESG ratings, to differentiate from other tools and services:

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Minimum transparency requirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings Acceptance/Authorisation of ESG rating procedures by supervisory authorities Publication of a list of all ESG rating agencies permitted in the EU

Please explain what solutions and options you would consider appropriate in order to clarify objectives of different types of ESG ratings:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Minimum transparency requirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings Acceptance/Authorisation of ESG rating procedures by supervisory authorities Publication of a list of all ESG rating agencies permitted in the EU

Please explain what solutions and options you would consider appropriate in order to improve transparency on the fees charged by the providers:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Minimum transparency requirements on methodologies and assumptions used for ESG ratings Minimum requirements for the independence of credit rating agencies

Please explain what solutions and options you would consider appropriate in order to avoid potential conflicts of interests:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Acceptance/Authorisation of ESG rating procedures by supervisory authorities Minimum requirements for the independence of credit rating agencies

Please explain what solutions and options you would consider appropriate in order to provide some supervision on the operations of these providers:

5000 character(s) maximum

Acceptance/Authorisation of ESG rating procedures by supervisory authorities Publication of a list of all ESG rating agencies permitted in the EU
Do you consider that the providers should be subject to an authorisation or registration system in order to offer their services in the EU?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain why:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
This measure can promote transparency and reliability of ESG information provided by ESG data providers.
De veu consider that the providere chauld be subject to an outboriest on an
Do you consider that the providers should be subject to an authorisation or registration system in order to provide ESG ratings on EU companies or non-EU companies' financial instruments listed in the EU even if they offer services to global or non-EU investors?
Yes
© No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain why:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Authorization/registration creates trust in the market, especially among smaller rating users.

Do you consider that there should be some minimum disclosure
requirements in relation to methodologies used by ESG rating providers? Orange Yes
 No Descriptions of a serial services of a serial serial services.
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain why:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
The measure creates transparency and thus trust in the market.
Do you consider that the providers should be using standardised templates for disclosing information on their methodology?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
The measure creates transparency and thus trust in the market.
Do you consider that the rules should be tailored to the size of the provider
Do you consider that the rules should be tailored to the size of the provider and hence have smaller providers subject to a lighter regime?
© Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Should the providers located outside of the EU, not providing services to the
EU investors but providing ratings of the European companies/financial products be subject to a lighter regime?
Yes
No No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
h) Costs of an Ell intervention
b) Costs of an EU intervention
Questions for ESG rating providers
Assume that in order to offer services to investors in the European Union or
to rate European companies/financial products, ESG rating providers would
be subject to an authorisation or registration requirement
How high would you estimate the one-off cost of applying for such an
authorisation/registration?
Please provide an estimate in EUR:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

In order to increase transparency, there may be considerations to introduce disclosure obligations on ESG rating providers. This could include, for example, disclosures on websites or annual reports on the operations and methodologies used by ESG rating providers and/or providing more information on how these methodologies were applied to specific ratings.

Please estimate the number of hours needed to produce the following disclosures:

	One-off costs (total hours) for disclosures on the operations and methodologies	Ongoing costs (hours per week) for disclosures on the operations and methodologies	Additional disclosures in ratings (hours per rating)
Negligible			
Less than 5 hours (but not negligible)			
5 to 9 hours			
10 to 19 hours			
20 to 39 hours			
40 to 79 hours			
80 to 160 hours			

More than 160 hours			
---------------------	--	--	--

indication of how many hours would be needed (for the costs in each column, as applicable). You may also provide any further explanations: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. What percentage of these costs would be incurred even in the absence of legislation? 0% ⁰ 1-20% [©] 21%-40% 41%-60% 61%-80% 81%-100% Don't know / no opinion / not applicable Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Do you see any other costs related to providing these disclosures (e.g. adjustment of IT systems, external consultants, etc.)? Yes O No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

If you chose more than 160 hours in the table above, please provide an

How many hours of work would you consider necessary to perform tasks
that would be linked to granting an authorisation for one ESG rating provider?
Negligible time
Less than 5 hours (but not negligible)
5 to 9 hours
10 to 19 hours
20 to 40 hours
More than 40 hours
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
If there were similar conflict of interest provisions introduced for ESG rating providers as in Article 6 and Annex I to Regulation (EU) 1060/2009 (CRA regulation), would you consider the associated costs to be of similar magnitude?
© Yes
© No
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Do you expect that you would face any further costs as an ESG rating

If yes, please explain what types of costs, whether they would be one-off or

ongoing and provide esti	mates if possible:
5000 character(s) maximum	a tai atau tha an tha MO Wandahan a a tau a a tau a a tau a
including spaces and line breaks, i.e.	e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
De como dell'orale illest o	
•	ossible additional compliance costs implied by a
•	amework for ESG ratings would be compensated by
the benefits of higher qua	ality and more reliable ratings?
Not at all	
To some extent	
To a reasonable exte	nt
To a great extent	
Don't know / no opinio	on / not applicable
Please explain your answ	ver:
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e	e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

What other impact(s) of a regulatory and supervisory framework on the operations of ESG rating providers would you see (e.g. potential impacts on competition, SMEs assessed by ratings, users of ratings, sustainable development)?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Questions for supervisors

How many hours of work would you consider necessary to perform tasks that would be linked to granting an authorisation for one ESG rating provider?

- Negligible time
- Less than 5 hours (but not negligible)
- 5 to 9 hours
- 10 to 19 hours
- 20 to 40 hours
- More than 40 hours
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

How many hours per week would you consider necessary to perform supervisory tasks per ESG rating provider?

- Negligible time
- Less than 5 hours (but not negligible)
- 5 to 9 hours
- 10 to 19 hours
- More than 20 hours
- Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

PART B - Incorporation of ESG factors in credit ratings

The provision of credit ratings is highly regulated in the EU as well as globally. Global standards are established by the <u>I</u> OSCO in its code of conduct for CRAs. The EU legal framework regulates the activities of CRAs with a view to protect investors and financial markets by guaranteeing the transparency, independence and integrity of the credit rating process – thereby enhancing the quality of ratings. All CRAs operating in the EU need to register with ESMA, which is the sole European supervisor. Credit ratings used for the purposes stemming from the EUvlegislation need to be provided by CRAs registered and supervised by ESMA. If a non-EU CRA wants its ratings to be used for regulatory requirements in the EU (i.e. by EU financial institutions), the <u>CRA Regulation</u> provides for two alternatives, certification or endorsement.

There are a number of EU regulatory requirements related to the use of credit ratings., in particular, in the <u>Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR)</u> and in the <u>Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR)</u>. The European Central Bank also makes extensive use of credit ratings in its open market operations.

Both EU legislation (Regulation (EU) No 462/2013) and the IOSCO code of conduct define precisely the objective of the credit rating: 'credit rating means an opinion regarding the creditworthiness of an entity, a debt or financial obligation, debt security, preferred share or other financial instrument, or of an issuer of such a debt or financial obligation, debt security, preferred share or other financial instrument, issued using an established and defined ranking system of rating categories'.

In other words, credit ratings assess the likelihood of the default of the rated entity or security. Credit ratings reply to the question: "what is the likelihood of getting my money back?" They are neither investment recommendations nor they determine the value of the rated entity or instruments.

ESG risks may be relevant for the assessment of creditworthiness depending on the sector, geographical location and the entity itself. CRAs methodologies define which factors, including ESG factors, are considered to be relevant for the assessment of creditworthiness and how they are taken into account in the credit rating process. ESMA supervises the soundness of methodologies, which in accordance with the CRA Regulation need to be rigorous, systematic, continuous, based on historical experience and back-tested. In its Technical Advice provided to the Commission in 2019, ESMA concluded that while it is clear that CRAs are considering E, S or G factors in their credit ratings, the extent to which each factor is considered varies by asset class, according to the importance assigned to that factor by a CRA's methodology. Currently, ESMA is conducting a thorough assessment of how CRA's methodologies incorporate sustainability risks.

The CRA Regulation includes a number of disclosure obligations in relation to the methodologies as well as individual credit ratings. In 2019, ESMA conducted a public consultation on disclosure requirements applicable to credit ratings. Following the finding on the insufficient transparency on the relevance of ESG factors to credit ratings, one of the topics of the consultation, ESMA issued guidelines on disclosure requirements applicable to credit ratings.

These ESMA guidelines expect CRAs to identify in their press releases if ESG factors have been key drivers behind a change in the credit rating. CRAs are asked to identify relevant factors, elaborate on their materiality and provide a reference to the methodology or the associated model. The ESMA guidelines came into effect in April 2020.

A recent assessment of the application of the guidelines revealed that the improvement of transparency has been partial. ESMA has analysed press releases over the period January 2019 – December 2020 and compared the number of references to ESG considerations before and after April 2020. The main findings are that the improvement is partial and not uniform.

This consultation builds on the findings of ESMA and the consultation on renewed sustainable finance strategy.

I. Questions to users of credit ratings

Do you use credit ratings for investment decisions?

- Yes, as a starting point for internal analysis
- Yes, as one of many sources of information that influence investment decisions
- Yes, as a decisive input into an investment decision
- No

_	credit ratings for regulatory purposes (e.g. stemming from the Ca
Yes	ements Regulation or Solvency II)?
No No	
	aguiramenta dan't annly ta ma
	equirements don't apply to me now / no opinion / not applicable
Please expla	nin your answer:
5000 character(and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
actions have	ant for you to understand to what extent individual credit rating been influenced by sustainability factors? ortant at all
O Not imp	e been influenced by sustainability factors? ortant at all important
Not imp Slightly Importa	e been influenced by sustainability factors? ortant at all important nt
Not imp Slightly Importa Very im	e been influenced by sustainability factors? ortant at all important nt
Not imp Slightly Importa Very im Don't kr	been influenced by sustainability factors? ortant at all important nt portant

Other

Do you find information about the extent to which CRAs methodologies or
the rating process incorporate sustainability factors sufficiently well disclosed? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Where do you look currently for the information on how ESG factors impact the credit rating? Please select as many answers as you like Press release accompanying credit ratings Additional analysis and reports available to subscribers Additional information materials available publicly Description of methodologies or rating process for specific asset classes, sectors or types of entities Frameworks or documents describing general approach to incorporation of ESG factors in credit rating process I don't know where to find such information Other
Does the level of disclosure differ depending on individual CRAs? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable

What are the trends on the market in relation to disclosure of information as to which credit ratings actions have been influenced by sustainability factors?

Please select as many answers as you like
 The level of disclosure has improved sufficiently since the entry into effect of ESMA guidelines (April 2020) In general the level of disclosure has improved sufficiently although some CRAs are lagging behind The overall level of disclosure is insufficient although some CRAs have
sufficiently improved
Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The extent to which CRAs incorporate ESG factors in credit ratings depends on the asset classes methodologies and the importance assigned to the given factor by a CRA's methodology. In addition, some CRAs have developed overall frameworks explaining how they incorporate ESG factors in credit ratings across asset classes, some publish reports reviewing past credit rating actions or specific sections accompanying credit rating actions.

In your opinion, what are trends in the relation to the incorporation of ESG factors in the credit rating process and methodologies?

- CRAs have sufficiently improved the incorporation of ESG factors in their methodologies and rating process
- In general CRAs have sufficiently improved the incorporation of ESG factors in credit ratings although some CRAs are lagging behind
- In general the development is insufficient although some CRAs have improved the incorporation of ESG factors in their methodologies and rating process

CRAs have insufficiently improved the incorporation of ESG factors in their	
methodologies and rating process	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Please explain your answer:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
II. Questions to Credit Rating Agencies	
Do you explicitly incorporate ESG factors in your methodologies?	
Yes	
Yes, but only for asset classes and sectors where relevant	
Partially	
© No	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Please explain your answer:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
Which individual E, S and G factors do you consider in your methodologies	?
Please select as many answers as you like	
Environmental factors	
Social factors	
Governance factors	
Other sustainability related factors	
•	

Please explain your answer:	
5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
In addition to methodologies, do you have a framework or a docume	
describing how you incorporate ESG factors in the credit rating process	3S'?
By framework, we mean any general approach to the incorporation of ES	3G
factors in credit rating process, in addition to methodologies for ass	
classes and sectors:	
© Yes	
No	
Other	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Have you improved disclosure on ESG factors in credit ratings since April 2020 when ESMA guidelines became applicable?	ce
© Yes	
Partially	
No, but we plan to improve	
No, because we have already been disclosing such information	
No	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
III. Questions on the need for EU intervention (all	
respondents)	

Do you consider that the current trends in the market are sufficient to ensure

that CRAs incorporate relevant ESG factors in credit ratings?

Yes

NoDon't know / no opinion / not applicable
Do you consider that the current trends in the market and application of ESMA guidelines on disclosure applicable to CRAs are sufficient to ensure understanding among users as to how ESG factors influence credit ratings? Yes No Don't know / no opinion / not applicable
If you responded 'no' to the previous questions, what type of intervention would you consider necessary? Please select as many answers as you like
 Further detailing of ESMA guidelines on the disclosure of ESG factors in credit ratings Further supervisory actions by ESMA Legislative intervention While improvements are insufficient, we do not see further scope for EU intervention Other
Please explain your answer: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Regarding the possible regulatory intervention, what type of requirements do you find relevant? Please select as many answers as you like
Press releases: introduce mandatory requirements mirroring the provision of ESMA guidance on the disclosure ESG factors in credit ratings

What would be the consequences of the lack of the EU intervention?

Please select as many answers as you like

Market trends are sufficient to meet investors demands for information on the
impact of ESG factors on credit ratings CRAs will respond to market pressure and ensure the incorporation of
ESG factors in credit ratings
The existing gap between approaches of CRAs to the incorporation of
ESG factors in credit ratings will grow
Concerns about the insufficient incorporation of ESG factors in credit ratings
lack of understanding among investors why certain credit rating actions are
not impacted by ESG factors
Please explain your answer:
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Costs of EU intervention - questions for CRAs Where applicable, what are your costs in EUR to disclose information based
on the current guidelines on disclosure of ESG factors in credit ratings?
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Would you foresee any additional compliance costs if the current guidelines on disclosure of ESG factors in credit ratings were to become part of the EU legislation?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

To what degree do CRAs overall already for of an obligation to do so?	llow the guidelines in the absence
0%	
[©] 1-40%	
[©] 41%-60%	
61%-80%	
81%-90%	
91%-99%	
[©] 100%	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	
Please explain your answer:	
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word	characters counting method
including opaces and into broaks, i.e. stricter than the week work	Characters counting metrod.
Would you expect additional compliance	costs if EU legislation explicitly
required CRAs to take into account ESG fa	actors where relevant in the rating
process?	
No or negligible additional costs	
Low additional costs	
Moderate additional costs	
High additional costs	
Don't know / no opinion / not applicable	

Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Useful links

 $\underline{\text{More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2022-esg-ratings_en)}}$

Consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-esg-ratings-consultation-document_en)

<u>Call for evidence accompanying this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/plan-2021-12801_en)</u>

More on EU labels for benchmarks (climate, ESG) and benchmarks ESG disclosures (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/sustainable-finance/eu-climate-benchmarks-and-benchmarks-esg-disclosures_en)

More on credit rating agencies (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/banking-and-finance/financial-supervision-and-risk-management/managing-risks-banks-and-financial-institutions/regulating-credit-rating-agencies_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2022-esg-ratings-privacy-statement_en)

More on the Transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en)

Contact

fisma-esg-ratings@ec.europa.eu